# **How The Ashkenazi Jews Conquered The West**

**And Became The Hidden Hand** 

CHURCH REFORM SERIES

## By Biblicism Institute

(If you do not know the origin of the Ashkenazim, you might want to do so before reading this article by clicking here.)

To conquer the West, the Ashkenazim applied a simple two-step process:

- 1) morph themselves into God's Chosen People;
- 2) take over the issuance of money.

They fully understood that there are only two entities that most men on earth revere: God and Money.

"You cannot serve both God and money." Matthew 6:24

So they succeeded in steering both to their advantage.

#### STEP 1



To outwit the Christian West, it was essential that the Ashkenazi Jews reinvent themselves. Consequently, they tinkered with biblical terminologies.

First, a little background.

The faith that is known as Judaism today was never called thus.

Rabbi Adolph Moses in collaboration with Rabbi H.G. Enlow <u>explained</u> clearly in "Yahvism and Other Discourses" that, "Among the innumerable misfortunes which have befallen... the most fatal in its consequences

is the name Judaism... neither in biblical nor post-biblical, neither in Talmudic nor in much later times, is the term Judaism ever heard..."

And what was it called before?

Rabbi Louis Finkelstein stated in his <u>book</u> "The Pharisees, The Sociological Background of Their Faith" that, "Pharisaism became Talmudism, Talmudism became Medieval Rabbinism, and Medieval Rabbinism became Modern Rabbinism. But throughout these changes in name . . . the spirit of the ancient Pharisees survives, unaltered . . . From Palestine to Babylonia; from Babylonia to North Africa, Italy, Spain, France and Germany; from these to Poland, Russia, and eastern Europe generally, ancient Pharisaism has wandered . . . demonstrates the enduring importance which attaches to Pharisaism as a religious movement . . ."

Judaism is actually Pharisaism, and therefore a misnomer since it is neither the doctrine of Judah nor the doctrine that Christ practiced, hence not an Abrahamic faith.

"Pharisaism shaped the character of Judaism and the life and thought of the Jew for all the future," <u>explains</u> the Jewish Encyclopedia.

In fact, Pharisaism is the doctrine of the Pharisees of old, an evil doctrine they brought back from their Babylonian captivity. It does not follow the truth of the Bible, neither of the Old Testament nor of the New. Its central tenets are found in a book called the Talmud (the real Satanic Verses), a book full of worldly traditions, lies, and superstitions.

"The Babylonian Talmud is based on the mystical religious practices of the Babylonians which were assimilated by the Judahite Rabbis during their Babylonian captivity around 600 B.C.," wrote Edward Hendrie in Solving the Mystery of Babylon the Great. "The Rabbis then used these occult traditions in place of the word of God."

And that is why Jesus was constantly rebuking the Pharisees.

"You belong to your father, the devil, and you want to carry out your father's desires. He was a murderer from the beginning, not holding to the truth, for there is no truth in him. When he lies, he speaks his native language, for he is a liar and the father of lies." John 8:44

"You have let go of the commands of God and are holding on to human traditions." Mark 7:8

"For you have taken away the key to knowledge." Luke 11:52

"You serpents, you brood of vipers, how will you escape the sentence of hell?" Matthew 23:33

"Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one <u>proselyte</u>, and when he is made, <u>ye make him twofold more the child of hell than yourselves.</u>" Matthew 23:15

The term Judaism was first coined by historian Flavius Josephus in the first century as he described the history, the civilization, the language, the poetry, the religion, the art, the science, the manners, the customs, the institutions, and the genocide of the Ancient Judahites. It was not coined with the intention of starting a religion, and nor is Judaism even mentioned in the Bible.

The people who first seized the term Judaism and its historical content were then Christians. They were using it as an educational tool to acquaint themselves with the true Judahite Hebrews who practiced the doctrine of Christ. Such a mechanism allowed them to better comprehend the Epistles of the Apostles.

As a result, they were able to grasp two important facts that have eluded today's Christians:

- a) that the Judahite Hebrews who became Christians were the true Israel of God, whom God spared the Great Tribulation during the Apocalypse of AD 70 an event a lot of Christians today think is in the future;
- b) that those who followed the Pharisees were not the true Israel of God, and therefore were not spared during said catastrophe that saw the genocide and the end of the Hebrew race.

"For they are <u>not</u> all Israel which are of Israel..." Romans 9:6

"The Lord is not slow about His promise, as some count slowness, but is patient toward you, not wishing for any to **perish** but for all to come to repentance." 2 Peter 3:9

"Behold, your house is being left to you desolate!" Matthew 23:38

In effect, those Judahite Hebrews who followed the Pharisees were <u>the seed of the Devil</u>, a truth the Apostle John was trying to convey in Revelation by calling them "Babylon."

"The name written on her forehead was a mystery: Babylon the great, the mother of prostitutes, and of the abominations of the earth." Revelation 17:5

Proselytes of Pharisaism or Rabbinists in 15th/16th Century Spain and Portugal were called *Marranos*, meaning pigs or dirty. Many of them converted to Christianity and were labeled *Conversos*. However, some modern Jewish historians tried to sanitize *Marranos* by inferring that said label was only used when adherents of Pharisaism or Rabbinists converted to Christianity. However, the facts say otherwise.

Most historians – even hardcore Jewish ones like Benzion Netanyahu (Yes, Bibi's dad) – called those who converted *Conversos*, not *Marranos*. Brian Chalmers in his review of Netanyahu's book <u>wrote</u>: "(Netanyahu) marshals evidence and arguments in an effort to prove that the 'New Christians' were sincere adherents of Christianity, and even 'ardent assimilationists' who were eager to marry into Christian families and otherwise melt into Spanish society.... the *Conversos* were actually sincere Christians."



Given that the Ashkenazim – themselves not Judahite Hebrews – were followers and proselytes of Pharisaism, a religion Christians everywhere frowned upon, they realized they needed a new image, a new public relations campaign that would put them in a more favorable light. And the reason they wanted that was because they had a Machiavellian plan. They were planning to conquer the West.

So they sprang into action some time in the late 18th century. They sharpened their Chutzpah and endeavored to get Christians to see them as the true Israel of God. Their purely cosmetic overhaul would consist in a) renaming Pharisaism *Judaism*; yes, that very Judaism Christians were using for educational purposes, and b) reinventing themselves as "Jews," an expression Christians were using to describe Judahite Hebrews or Judeans (i.e., people from the tribe of Judah). It was the perfect hoodwinking package that gullible Christians could easily swallow.

Jewish-born historian Benjamin H. Freedman explained it thus:

"When the word 'Jew' was first introduced into the English language in the 18th century (1775) its one and only implication, inference and innuendo was 'Judean'. During the 18th, 19th, and 20th centuries a well-organized and well-financed international 'pressure group' created a so-called 'secondary meaning' for the word 'Jew' among the English-speaking peoples of the world. This so-called 'secondary meaning' for the word 'Jew' bears no relation whatsoever to the 18th century original connotation of the word 'Jew'. It is a misrepresentation."

As it stands, the adherents of Pharisaism or Rabbinists not only hijacked the word Judaism, but they also misappropriated the word Jew. However – over time and as it pertains to Christianity – the word Jew was completely hollowed out of its "Judan" or "Judahite" meaning, because those who hijacked it were not of the tribe of Judah. In fact, in many Christian circles today, that word causes quite the confusion.

"The present generally accepted 'secondary meaning' of the word 'Jew' is fundamentally responsible for the confusion in the minds of Christians regarding elementary tenets of the Christian faith," continued historian Benjamin H. Freedman.

"It is likewise responsible today to a very great extent for the dilution of the devotion of countless Christians for their Christian faith. The implications, inferences and innuendoes of the word 'Jew' today, to the preponderant majority of intelligent and informed Christians, is contradictory and in complete conflict with incontestable historic fact. Christians who cannot be fooled any longer are suspect of the Christian clergy who continue to repeat, and repeat ad nauseam their pet theme song 'Jesus was a Jew'. It actually now approaches a psychosis.

"Countless Christians know today that they were 'brain washed' by the Christian clergy on the subject 'Jesus was a Jew'... (They) are also becoming more and more alerted day by day why the so-called or self-styled 'Jews' throughout the world for three centuries have spent uncounted sums of money to manufacture the fiction that the 'Judeans' in the time of Jesus were 'Jews' rather than 'Judeans', and that 'Jesus was a Jew'."

The forced evolution of the word "Jew" is similar to the evolution that befell the word "Gay." Gay=Merry became Gay=Homosexual. Try telling someone who's happy (and who's not a homosexual) that you're glad he's so "gay" and see what happens.

Another example is when many Christians today interact with a Jew they just met, their immediate reaction is to say, "Oh, Jesus was a Jew, too." What they're unwittingly saying is, "Oh, Jesus was a Pharisee, too."

## Oh, the blasphemy!

A word is what it is according to the meaning of its time. The word "Jew" today is so entrenched in describing one who follows Pharisaism (or Judaism) that it's been completely emptied of its original meaning. Hence it no longer describes a Judahite or Judean, the actual word in the non-translated bible. That is why it needs to be struck from the translated bible, because all it does is create confusion in the Christian mind; and that very confusion is exactly what the satanic forces want in order to unseat Christians as the new Chosen People – chosen to love and to do good – and to calumniate Christ as a Pharisee.

Since Mr. Freedman referred to "an international pressure group" that influenced the "secondary meaning" of the word "Jew" as it applies to followers of Pharisaism, and since he also mentioned that it was well-financed and that it spent uncounted sums of money, the only way to really discover it is to go back to the 18th Century and unearth the MONEY behind it. After all, money talks and that other stuff floats.

In the mid-18th century, one family stood out as the ultimate MONEY family, the German-born Ashkenazi Jews known as the Rothschilds.

# Wikipedia explains:



## Mentmore Towers, one of the many Rothschild properties

"The family's ascent to international prominence began in 1744, with the birth of Mayer Amschel Rothschild in Frankfurt am Main, Germany. He was the son of Amschel Moses Rothschild, (born circa 1710), a money changer who had traded with the Prince of Hesse. Born in the 'Judengasse,' the ghetto of Frankfurt, Mayer developed a finance house and spread his empire by installing each of his five sons in the five main European financial centres in London, Paris, Vienna and Naples, as well as Frankfurt.

"During the 19th century, when it was at its height, the Rothschild family is believed by some to have possessed the largest private fortune in the world, as well as the largest private fortune in modern world history."

Could it be that the Rothschilds were the ones behind this "pressure group" that commandeered the word Jew and hijacked the word Judaism as part of their Machiavellian plan?

If so, what did they stand to gain?

Actually there are two good reasons why the Rothschilds would absolutely be involved, if not being themselves the originators of said plan of conquest.

**i.** They had an insidiously wicked vision. They wanted to transform <u>Palestine</u> into their new mother country, <u>Israel</u>, since they and their fellow Ashkenazim had lost their homeland <u>Khazaria</u>.

"After the death of James Jacob de Rothschild in 1868, his eldest son Alphonse Rothschild took over the management of the family bank and was the most active in support for <u>Eretz Israel</u>," clarifies <u>Wikipedia</u>.

"The Rothschild family archives show that during the 1870s the family contributed nearly 500,000 francs per year on behalf of Eastern Jewry to the Alliance Israélite Universelle. Baron Edmond James de Rothschild, youngest son of James Jacob de Rothschild, was a patron of the first settlement in <u>Palestine</u> at Rishon-LeZion, and bought from Ottoman landlords parts of the land which now makes up present-day Israel.

"In 1917 Walter Rothschild, 2nd Baron Rothschild was the addressee of the <u>Balfour Declaration</u> to the <u>Zionist Federation</u>, which committed the British government to the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people.

"In 1924, Baron Edmond James de Rothschild established the Palestine Jewish Colonisation Association (PICA), which acquired more than 125,000 acres (50,586 ha) of land and set up business ventures. In Tel Aviv, there is a road, Rothschild Boulevard, named after him as well as various localities throughout Israel which he assisted in founding including Metulla, Zikhron Ya'akov, Rishon Lezion and Rosh Pina.

"The Rothschilds also played a significant part in the funding of Israel's governmental infrastructure. James A. de Rothschild financed the <u>Knesset</u> building as a gift to the State of Israel and the <u>Supreme Court of Israel</u> building was donated to Israel by Dorothy de Rothschild."



## Baron David René de Rothschild, current French chairman of N M Rothschild & Sons

Thus, in cahoots with the British Empire, the Rothschilds conspired to create the state of Israel on the stolen land of Palestine. Apparently, they got tired of these random land purchases. They wanted the whole enchilada. So they gobbled up the whole thing, the whole of Palestine, using the British army (much the way AIPAC is using the US Army to wage all these Middle East wars to benefit Israel).

Only powerful money could have caused something of that dimension to materialize. What other private individuals in history do you know of managed to accomplish something of that magnitude? It's not every day one gets to buy his own country or in this instance <u>steal one</u>, especially since in so doing one is putting at risk the very Empire that's helping. *See <u>Israel: the Scourge of Empires.</u>* Consequently, and because of said risk, more than money was needed for the realization of such an audacious plan.

"America's traditional churches in the 19th Century would never stand for a Jewish occupation of Jesus's homeland," <u>explained</u> author C.E. Carlson.

Therefore, the Ashkenazim needed a miracle.

They needed to be recognized by the West as the true Israel of God in order to keep the populace of the Christian Empires mollified and agreeable as they endeavored to steal the "Holy" Land, which from a religious standpoint was mostly controlled at the time by the Catholic Church/Empire thanks to its many crusades to unseat the "infidel" Muslims.

So Pharisaism or Rabbinism became Judaism, while its adherents miraculously became the "Jews" of the Bible.

Whoever said money can't buy miracles.

As the Ashkenazim finalized their naturalization as "Jews" of the bible, they sent out a loud message to the Christian world: the "kinsmen" of Christ were still around and therefore had the right as per "biblical prophecies" to return to "their homeland" Israel (temporarily called Palestine in their eyes, even though the Ashkenazim and all the other racially identifiable "Jews" are not even remotely related to our Lord Jesus Christ, and none of their ancestors ever lived neither East nor West of the Jordan). See Are Jews the Israelites of the Bible?

Thereupon, in order to start and keep alive the illusion that the creation of modern-day Israel was the result of "biblical prophecies," they financed many "commentary bibles" such as the <u>Scofield Reference Bible</u> with purposely erroneous commentaries about the return of the "Jews" to "their homeland," along with the invention of <u>the unbiblical rapture theology</u> and brainwashing references about "<u>anti-semitism</u>" being a sin. They also kept on payroll compromised preachers, like <u>John Hagee</u>, to constantly brainwash the flock by spewing out their demonic bile in reference to Israeli "Jews" as God's "Chosen People."

And, for good measure, not only did they dust off the dead <u>Hebrew tongue</u> from the dustbin of forgotten languages to make it Israel's official dialect, but <u>they also resurrected</u> the Sanhedrin Court of old that condemned Christ to death in order to threaten with the wrath of "God's Chosen" those who dare to contradict the Zionist view that the entirety of <u>the stolen land of Palestine</u> belongs to Israel.

ii. They wanted respect, control, power, and possibly even worship.

By pretending to follow Judaism, "the doctrine of Judah" (by inference the doctrine that Christ the Judahite practiced), and by pretending to be Jews (by inference Judahites), the Rothschilds and their pharisaic brethren would be seen in a whole new light by Western Christians.

No longer would Christians compare them to the dreaded Pharisees of old, whom Jesus was constantly rebuking. No longer would Christians paint a mental picture of them as children of the Devil.

And no more would they be treated as pharisaic heathens and pigs deserving of calumnia, as the Europeans were wont to appraise them as followers of Pharisaism.

Now they would be seen as those of Judah who escaped and awaited God's salvation.

By becoming Jews who practiced Judaism, the Ashkenazim positioned themselves and their coreligionists in the minds of Christians as those whom God has not rejected.

"I say then, God has not rejected His people, has He? May it never be!" Romans 1:11

By implication they became the chosen people.

Hence, all followers of Pharisaism would no longer be identified by the outside world as <u>Ashkenazim</u>, <u>Sephardim</u>, <u>Samaritans</u>, Europeans, or Africans, but simply as Jews, <u>the kinsmen of Jesus Christ</u>, the God of the Western world.

Thus "Judaism" and "Jew" became the immediate revisionist words that historians endeavored to apply, as the financial incentive to do so was extremely tempting. As a result, the words that were used to describe the adherents of Pharisaism and their religion were sanitized (just like when Blacks wanted to be called Negroes no more). This in turn caused compromised writers of all stripes to follow suit, as they searched for any excuse to exploit the word "Jew" in reference to proselytes of Pharisaism or Rabbinists.

For example, pre-18th century playwrights employed the word IEWE (Iewe is old English and means Jehudite/Judahite or Judean) in their work, but unlike the word *Jew* it was pronounced **Yee-hoo-wee**, stretching its best to imitate the original Hebrew phonetic of **Ye-hu-wdiy**. Its usage was never meant to describe a JEW in the sense of the religious person we know today, but that didn't matter to those who had revisionism in mind.



## Jesus whips the money changers

As a case in point, in *The Merchant of Venice*, Shakespeare's *Shylock the Iewe* was a fictional creative construct that borrowed its obvious tautology from the biblical Judahite money changers, whom Jesus whipped and chased out of the Temple. Its creation was not intended to mimic Rabbinists of Shakespeare's time. Nonetheless, it's been widely and erroneously interpreted to mean a "JEW" in the modern sense.

In Was Shylock Jewish?, Professor Emma Smith clarified it thus:

"That 'Jew' (Iewe) might be an adjective rather than a noun – an attribute of a person which does not always or only denote religion or race – is common in its deployment in early modern English... In the early modern period, the signifier 'Jew' (Iewe) had become at least partially detached from the racial or religious signified with which it is now firmly associated... R.H. Tawney – along with Shakespeare's own biography – demonstrated long ago that Elizabethan money lending was 'not a profession but a bye-employment'. Thus the early modern association between Jews and money lending was almost always a knowing fiction..."

Shakespeare was not anti-Jew as some allege, and nor was Shylock created to malign those who today are known as Jews, especially when he was penned at a time when "Jews" were called Rabbinists (followers of the Babylonian Talmud), and everyone knew they were not of the tribe of Judah.

Yet, compromised historians and critics convoluted the whole Shylock-money-lender thing and re-engineered it to be construed as anti-"Jew" – just the way they twisted Christopher Marlowe's *The Rich Iewe of Malta* into *The Rich Jew of Malta*. As Professor Smith pointed out: "...the representation of anti-semitism is more interesting and significant to us..."

With such favorable intellectual and religious dispositions that only money could buy, the Rothschilds were now sociologically unfettered to <u>amass their fortunes</u> through <u>wars</u>, usury, and <u>fiat money</u> of their own <u>central banks</u>, in partnership with their Ashkenazi brethren. This in turn allowed them to accumulate <u>more power</u>, <u>control world events</u>, and <u>dispossess another people</u> (the Palestinians) of their land.

As "kinsmen of Jesus," they figured quite presciently that no one would dare frown upon them as they went about their nefarious activities. After all, Jews are "God's chosen," and as such God is the one who is blessing them.

So, hands off.

But if, God forbid, they were to be frowned upon, they could always buy off their detractors, or accuse them of being conspiracy theorists, or even destroy their lives by labeling them <u>anti-Semites</u> when Jews are not even Semites.

"...it is impossible to change the genetic make-up from Caucasian to Semitic; which automatically nullifies their claim to be returning to Israel, the land of their forebears, because their forebears were never in Biblical Israel," <a href="mailto:explained">explained</a> Benjamin Disraeli, a former Jew who converted to Christianity and a Victorian Prime Minister of Great Britain, referring to his fellow <a href="mailto:Ashkenazim">Ashkenazim</a> who were migrating from Russia and Eastern Europe to <a href="Palestine">Palestine</a>, with a view to turning the Arab country into Israel.

So, lo and behold, the reality of the modern Pharisees was re-calibrated. "Abracadabra!" incanted the genie and there they were, the adherents of the doctrine of Judah, the "Jews" of the bible, the chosen Hebrews (when all Hebrews are irrevocably dead).

"We came to this country (Palestine) that was populated by <u>Arabs</u> and we are establishing a <u>Hebrew</u>, that is a Jewish state there," <u>vomited</u> Ashkenazi-Israeli General Moshe Dayan, now deceased and <u>soon to burn in hell</u> war criminal.

## Oh, the deception!

"For there is nothing hidden that will not be disclosed, and nothing concealed that will not be known or brought out into the open." Luke 8:17

#### STEP 2

"Give me control of a nation's money and I care not who makes its laws" – Mayer Amschel Bauer Rothschild



#### **Rothschild Coat of Arms**

The Rothschilds and their fellow Ashkenazic partners began their international control of money in Europe, and from there extended it throughout the world.

Wikipedia and the Jewish Encyclopedia clarify it thus:

"Mayer Rothschild's strategy was to keep control of their banks in family hands, allowing them to maintain full secrecy about the size of their fortunes. In about 1906, the Jewish Encyclopedia noted: 'The practice initiated by the Rothschilds of having several brothers of a firm establish branches in the different financial centres of the world was followed by other Jewish financiers, like the <u>Bischoffsheims</u>, <u>Pereires</u>, <u>Seligmans</u>, <u>Lazards</u> and others, and these financiers obtained credit not alone with their Jewish confrères, but with the banking fraternity in

general. By this means Jewish financiers obtained an increasing share of international finance during the middle and last quarter of the 19th century. The head of the whole group was the Rothschild family...' "



## Worship of Mammon by Evelyn De Morgan

Said share of international finance reached its apex in 1913 when they finally established a Central Bank in America. It would be known as <u>the Federal Reserve System</u>. They managed to bring it to life by bribing their way through the US Government and Congress.

Such method was necessary because the Federal Reserve System is in fact a private enterprise that is pretending to be a US Government institution: the President of the United States appoints a Fed Chair whom the Senate confirms, largely for public consumption since said Chair does not take orders from either.

"The financial system has been turned over to the Federal Reserve Board," explained Republican Congressman of Minnesota Charles A. Lindbergh Sr. in 1923. "That Board administers the finance system by authority of a purely profiteering group. The system is Private, conducted for the sole purpose of obtaining the greatest possible profits from the use of other people's money."

To conceal their scheme, the Rothschilds and their co-conspirators attached to said central bank the "FEDERAL" moniker, a clear misnomer intended to hoodwink gullible Americans. Quite the obvious pattern, isn't it? As a result, money creation in the US went from the People's Representatives to <u>a group of wealthy men</u>, who all strangely happened to be Ashkenazi Jews (except for their token non-Jewish partners):

1.	Rothschild		Ba	Banks		of Londo		and	Berlin.	
2.	Lazard			Brothers		Ва	ınks	of	Paris.	
3.	Israel		Mose	Moses		f	Banks	of	Italy.	
4.	Warburg		Bank		of		ıburg	and	Amsterdam.	
5.	Lehman				Bro	thers		of		
6.	Kuhn,	Loeb	Bank	of	NY	(Now	Shearson	American	Express).	
7.	Goldman				S	achs		of	NY	
8 National Bank of Commerce NY/Morgan Guaranty Trust (L. P. Morgan Bank – Equitable Life – Levi P. Morton										

9. Hanover Trust of NY (William and David Rockefeller & Chase National Bank NY are principal shareholders).

Dean Henderson, in his article <u>The Federal Reserve Cartel</u>, clearly explained and confirmed the private ownership of the Federal Reserve:

"J. W. McCallister, an oil industry insider with House of Saud connections, wrote in The Grim Reaper that information he acquired from Saudi bankers cited 80% ownership of the New York Federal Reserve Bank – by far the most powerful Fed branch – by just eight families, four of which reside in the US. They are,

•	the	Goldman	Sachs,	Rockefellers,	Lenmans	and	Kuhn	Loebs	10	New	Y ork
•		the	Rot	hschilds	of	P	aris	and	1	I	London
•			the	V	Varburgs		0	f		На	amburg
•			the		Lazards			of			Paris

• the Israel Moses Seifs of Rome

"CPA Thomas D. Schauf corroborates McCallister's claims, adding that ten banks control all twelve Federal Reserve Bank branches. He names. N.M. Rothschild of London Rothschild Bank Berlin of Warburg Bank of Hamburg Warburg Bank of Amsterdam Lehman Brothers of New York Lazard **Brothers** of **Paris** Kuhn Loeb of Bank York New Israel Seif Moses Bank of Italy

of


New

York

Sachs

• JP Morgan Chase Bank of New York

Goldman



"Eustace Mullins came to the same conclusions in his book <u>The Secrets of the Federal Reserve</u>, in which he displays charts connecting the Fed and its member banks to the families of Rothschild, Warburg, Rockefeller and the others.

"The control that these banking families exert over the global economy cannot be overstated and is quite intentionally shrouded in secrecy. Their corporate media arm is quick to discredit any information exposing this private central banking cartel as 'conspiracy theory'."

Woodrow Wilson <u>bitterly regretted</u> the <u>bribe</u> he took for his role in creating the Federal Reserve: "I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the civilized world — no longer a Government by free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men."

Many pundits love to explain that the Federal Reserve is a partnership between the private banks and the Federal Government.

#### Bull biscuit!

"Some people think that the Federal Reserve Banks are United States Government institutions: they are private monopolies which prey upon the people of these United States for the benefit of themselves and their foreign customers; foreign and domestic speculators and swindlers; and rich and predatory money lenders," clarified the Honorable Louis McFadden, Chairman of the House Banking and Currency Committee in the 1930s.

Pity the poor soul that believes the Fed is playing by the Federal Government's rules! The only rule the Fed knows is the one that enriches its secret and not so secret members, regardless of <u>how it destroys</u> the US economy.

"Most Americans have no real understanding of the operation of the international money lenders," revealed Arizona Republican Senator <u>Barry Goldwater</u> in the 1950s. "The accounts of the Federal Reserve System have never been audited. It operates outside the control of Congress and manipulates the credit of the United States."

According to <u>Forbes</u>, the Federal Reserve in 2008 alone – under the oh! so responsible watch of the Ashkenazi Jew <u>Ben "Helicopter" Bernanke</u> – single-handedly allocated "over \$16 <u>Trillion</u> to corporations and banks internationally, purportedly for 'financial assistance.'"

Other <u>sources</u> put it at close to \$30 Trillion, twice the size of America's GDP. And none of that money has been accounted for. These transactions were only discovered after a "quick audit" that then Congressman Ron Paul miraculously managed to squeeze out of the Fed when he was <u>beating the drum</u> trying to get Americans to pay attention to the secretive bank.


So who really got all that money? Was it only \$16 - 30 Trillion? And how much money has actually been stolen since the founding of the Federal Reserve? No one knows. Not even Congress. And no politician would even utter a word about it. Plus notice how such brazen thievery did not get any play in the mainstream media.

If you think that these Ashkenazim who took control over the US Central banking system did not turn on the money spigot for their fellow Jews in order to create a Jewish stranglehold on the US economy and beyond, then you're a bigger fool than Judas who sold his Lord for 30 pieces of silver.

No wonder close to <u>half of all billionaires</u> ("half" is what we're told) in the US are <u>Ashkenazi Jews</u>. And it's no coincidence either that they dominate the <u>US Media</u>.

"...the Jews will have all the property of the whole world in their hands," <u>predicted</u> Baruch Levi in his Letter to Karl Marx, La Revue de Paris, p574, June 1st. 1928.

By controlling the money supply they're able to buy anything and anyone – anyone who serves the MONEY god that is. Said control abets the Jewish financiers to steer the US Government which in turn steers the world.



The heart of the US Government is Congress. It is full of Judases who have sold out their country to said MONEY god.

"(America) is just an oligarchy with unlimited political bribery being the essence of getting the nominations for President or being elected President," <u>revealed</u> former President Jimmy Carter. "And the same thing applies to governors, and U.S. Senators and Congress members."

That is why all American politicians, and especially Congressmen (except for a handful few), kowtow to <u>AIPAC</u> (secretly known as the Ashkenazi-Israeli Political Action Committee), its <u>bribe</u> money, its control of <u>the corrupt Federal Reserve</u>, and its <u>treasonous attitude</u> in favor of Israel <u>above American interests</u>. Whatever Israel wants, including <u>terrorism and wars</u>, Israel gets.

As Pat Buchanan quipped, "Congress is Israeli-occupied territory."



The MONEY god

The only modern-day US politician who went head-to-head with the MONEY god was President Kennedy. He signed Executive Order 11110 which authorized the US Treasury to issue Silver Certificates and to coin Silver Dollars, a smart move that if fully implemented would have put the Federal Reserve out of commission. Strangely, five months after issuing said order, he was assassinated. Silver Certificates and Dollars were then tossed into the dustbin of oblivion.

Even the President of the United States is no match for the MONEY god and its hidden hand. Poor Kennedy had to be made an example of in order to warn all American politicians to beware of bearding the demons in their den.

President James Madison knew of the murderous streak of central bankers when he said, "History records that the money changers have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit, and <u>violent</u> means possible to maintain their control over governments by controlling money and its issuance."

## **CONCLUSION**

Jesus was right.

One cannot serve both God and Money. One must choose.



#### PaintedChrist.com

Money is governed by the principalities of hell. They know that most people fear them and won't worship them, but that they sure will worship Money. And when they do, their hearts are no longer available to genuinely worship the true God whom these demons hate.

So those sly devils carefully chose whom they handed the control of money to. But, unfortunately for them and their minions, God is the one who has the final say.

Besides, Jesus already wrote the end of the story, and it favors those who worship Him:

"Behold, I will cause those of the synagogue of Satan, who say that they are Judahites and are not, but lie—I will make them come and bow down at your feet, and make them know that I have loved you." Revelation 3: 9